



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,892	10/29/2008	David Francis Corbett	PR60416USW	8622
23347	7590	02/18/2010	EXAMINER	
GLAXOSMITHKLINE			BIANCHI, KRISTIN A	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482				
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM
LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM
JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,892	CORBETT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KRISTIN BIANCHI	1626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2009.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-25,27 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 33-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-25 and 27 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/18/2006</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-25, 27 and 33-37 are pending in the instant application. Claims 33-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected subject matter. The withdrawn subject matter is patentably distinct from the elected subject matter as it differs in structure and element and would require separate search considerations. In addition, a reference which anticipates one group would not render obvious the other. Claims 1-25 and 27 are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on May 18, 2006 has been considered and a signed copy of form 1449 is enclosed herewith.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-25 and 27, and the compound (+)-(Trans)-2-{4-[(3-phenoxybenzyl)amino]phenyl}cyclopropanecarboxylic acid in the response filed on October 5, 2009 has been acknowledged.

Upon further consideration, however, the requirement to elect a species has been withdrawn (i.e., the subject matter of claims 1-25 and 27 has been searched and examined in its entirety). The restriction requirement is still considered proper and is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the compounds of formula (I) and salts thereof, does not reasonably provide enablement for solvates or pharmaceutically

functional derivatives thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP 2164.01 (A)). These include: nature of the invention, breadth of the claims, guidance of the specification, the existence of working examples, state of the art, predictability of the art and the amount of experimentation necessary. All of the Wands factors have been considered with regard to the instant claims, with the most relevant factors discussed below.

The state of the prior art/level of ordinary skill/level of predictability

In regards to solvates, active pharmaceutical ingredients are frequently delivered to the patient in the solid-state as part of an approved dosage form (e.g., tablets, capsules, etc.). Solids provide a convenient, compact, and generally stable format to store an active pharmaceutical ingredient or a drug product. Understanding and controlling the solid-state chemistry of active pharmaceutical ingredients, both as pure drug substances and in formulated products, is therefore an important aspect of the drug development process. Active pharmaceutical ingredients can exist in a variety of distinct solid forms, including polymorphs, solvates, hydrates, salts, co-crystals, and amorphous solids. Each form displays unique physicochemical properties that can profoundly influence the bioavailability, manufacturability, purification, stability, and other performance characteristics of the drug. Hence, it is critical to understand the

relationship between the particular solid form of a compound and its functional properties.

For ionizable compounds, preparation of salt forms using pharmaceutically acceptable acids and bases is a common strategy to improve bioavailability. However, the preparation of other solid forms, such as polymorphs, solvates and hydrates, are not so common to be predictable. In order to obtain patent protection on these forms, some of which may have significantly different properties and relevance as development candidates, it is essential to prepare them, identify conditions for making them, and evaluate their properties as valuable new pharmaceutical materials.

Therefore, for the reasons above, the state of the prior art is one of unpredictability.

As stated above, crystalline solids can exist in the form of polymorphs, solvates or hydrates. "Phase transitions such as polymorph interconversion, desolvation of solvate, formation of hydrate, and conversion of crystalline to amorphous form may occur during various pharmaceutical processes, which may alter the dissolution rate and transport characteristics of the drug. Hence, it is desirable to choose the most suitable and stable form of the drug in the initial stages of drug development" (Vippagunta et al., abstract). In further discussing the predictability of the formation of solvates, Vippagunta et al. discloses that "predicting the formation of solvates or hydrates of a compound and the number of molecules of water or solvent incorporated into the crystal lattice of a compound is complex and difficult. Each solid compound responds uniquely to the possible formation of solvates or hydrates and hence

generalizations cannot be made for a series of related compounds" (page 18, section 3.4).

In regards to pharmaceutically functional derivatives, prodrugs are an example of pharmaceutically functional derivatives. "Prodrugs" are commonly known in the art as drugs which are administered in an inactive (or less active) form, and then metabolized *in vivo* into an active metabolite. Wolff et al. (Burger's Medicinal Chemistry, 5th Ed., Vol. 1, pages 975-977, 1994) summarizes the state of the prodrug art, the lengthy research involved in successfully identifying a prodrug and the difficulties of extrapolating between species.

The level of skill of the pharmacological art involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities as prodrugs. There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any prodrug on its face, without evidence to support that particular prodrug. It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable and requires the embodiments to be individually assessed for physiological activity. Each embodiment of a prodrug must be supported by this invention in order to be enabled for the full range of prodrugs of said compounds.

With the limited direction and exemplification the specification offers, it is highly unpredictable that said compounds will actually form effective prodrugs thereof. The evidence supports the conclusion that the method of making claimed prodrugs is a subject for further study and experimentation.

The amount of direction or guidance present/existence of working examples

A disclosure should contain representative examples which provide reasonable assurance to one skilled in the art that the compounds which fall within the scope of a claim will possess the alleged activity. The specification does not adequately enable a method of making the pharmaceutically functional derivatives or solvates of the compounds that the claims encompass.

There is no data present or any working examples in the specification for the preparation of the pharmaceutically functional derivatives or solvates of said compounds.

As discussed above, it would be necessary for Applicant to provide evidentiary support for each embodiment due to the unpredictability in the art with regards to the success of prodrugs with some drugs over others.

Breadth of the claims

The instant breadth of the rejected claims is broader than the disclosure, specifically; the instant claims include any pharmaceutically functional derivative (i.e., prodrug) or solvate of said compounds.

The quantity of experimentation needed

While the level of skill in the pharmaceutical arts is high, it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art to prepare any pharmaceutically functional derivative (i.e., prodrug) or solvate of said compounds.

The specification provides limited support, as noted above, for the pharmaceutically functional derivatives or solvates encompassed by the claims. The

quantity of experimentation needed to make the pharmaceutically functional derivatives or solvates encompassed by the claims would be an undue burden on one skilled in the chemical art, since the skilled artisan is given inadequate guidance for the reasons stated above.

Even with the undue burden of experimentation, there is no guarantee that one would obtain the desired prodrugs in view of the Wolff et al. reference. Also, the science of crystallization has evolved such that, without guidance or working examples in the specification, the claim lacks enablement.

This discussion established *prima facie* non-enablement. Deletion of "pharmaceutically functional derivatives" and "solvates" from the claims would overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTIN BIANCHI whose telephone number is (571)270-5232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kamal A Saeed/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

Kristin Bianchi
Examiner
Art Unit 1626
